

**The Environmental Protection Agency is providing this geospatial information as a public service and does not vouch for the accuracy, completeness, or currency of data. Data provided by external parties is not independently verified by EPA. This data is made available to the public strictly for informational purposes. Data does not represent EPA's official position, viewpoint, or opinion, express or implied. This information is not intended for use in establishing liability or calculating Cost Recovery Statutes of Limitations and cannot be relied upon to create any rights, substantive or procedural, enforceable by any party in litigation with the United States or third parties. EPA reserves the right to change these data at any time without public notice.**

This GIS data set being transmitted is a representation of the best available perimeters of sites, operable units, and facilities in EPA Region 3 currently on the National Priority List. The data set is being provided in ESRI "shape file" format.

The total number of polygons (records) in the shape file is 307, however, this does not equal the count of individual sites. There are several sites that contain multiple polygons. For example, there are 25 polygons (records) which comprise the single NPL facility known as the Marine Corps Combat Development Command Center.

EPA R3 manages NPL sites in two broad categories: those which are federal facilities and those which are not. Federal facilities are identified by a "Y" in the column labeled "ff".

Here are some additional caveats to this data set:

1. The total number of "sites" in Region 3 is 219. Of these, 169 are listed as "Final" (which means some form of remediation is underway), 46 are listed as "Deleted" (meaning EPA considers these sites warranting no further action other than monitoring), and 4 are listed as "Proposed" (meaning EPA is still investigating whether these sites warrant being included in the "Final" list).
2. One site has no current perimeter boundary in the shape file. Those responsible for managing this site are in the process of determining the correct boundary.
3. The term "boundary" should be used with caution as it could be interpreted several different ways. The polygons in this file could be property boundaries where the area of contamination is somewhere within the property but not completely encompassing it. They could be "best guess" limits of actual contamination, they could be limits of where remediation work is actually occurring, or several other definitions. For this sort of detail it is best to contact the project manager assigned to the individual facility. That information can be found at: <http://www.epa.gov/superfund/>.